

**Applicant: Mario Meggiolan**  
**Application No.: 10/073,407**

claim a connector element according to the method of claims 1-31. Claims 38 and 39 are a bicycle bracket and connector element respectively of the type which can be fabricated by the method of claims 1-31.


A restriction requirement based on distinction is proper if the inventions are distinct and a serious burden on the Examiner exists in examining the application. Applicant respectfully submits that no serious burden exists in examining all of the claims in the application. The inventions of claim group I and group II, as separated by the Examiner, are so inter-related as to require a same field of search. Consequently, no serious burden exists. As such, examination of all of the pending claims together would be more efficient than separating the claims for examination in different applications.

**Applicant: Mario Meggiolan**  
**Application No.: 10/073,407**

In view of the foregoing, Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the restriction requirement and examination of all of the pending claims. If for any reason the Examiner believes that an interview, either telephonically or in person, would advance prosecution of the application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned to arrange an interview.

Respectfully submitted,

Mario Meggiolan

By   
Anthony S. Volpe  
Registration No. 28,377  
(215) 568-6400

Volpe and Koenig, P.C.  
Suite 400, One Penn Center  
1617 John F. Kennedy Boulevard  
Philadelphia, PA 19103

ASV/DPD  
Enclosures